Molecular fatigue in steamed wood
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Abstract

Cyclical off-axis compression was applied to steamed Spruce wood in uniaxial strain under stress control. It has previously been shown that stiffness decrement and plastic strain due to mechanical fatigue loading are closely related, and both depend on the greatest accumulated strain. Molecular fatigue response was now investigated in terms of Differential Scanning Calorimetry. In accordance with classical Coffin-Manson theory, it was found that the creation of fatigue damage depends on plastic strain amplitude, not depending on the applied stress, applied strain, or the amount of dissipated energy as such. Molecular reorganization becomes more pronounced along with further energy application, as well as with increased process temperature.
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1. Introduction

Wood is an anisotropic composite of polymeric constituents. It is widely available, and used for a variety of purposes, including constructions, joinery, pulp and paper, as well as for fractionation into chemicals. A variety of such industries apply steam treatment as a process means, just to mention moisture and temperature softening of joinery components, as well as steaming of wood in the context of mechanical and chemical pulping. The mechanical properties of steam-treated wood are of interest in all those industries which combine steam treatment with mechanical action. Mechanical pulping processes essentially consist of fatigue treatments, intending to loosen the internal structure of steam-treated wood.

Wood is a rather complex composite of polymeric constituents. The different constituents, cellulose, hemicelluloses and lignins, have significantly different properties. In the abundance of water, hemicelluloses tend to soften below room temperature, while lignins and cellulose still remain stiff [1–5]. A widely accepted hypothesis is that the softening of lignins largely dominates the effect of temperature and moisture on time-dependent mechanical behavior of wood, at least in the range of moisture and temperature applicable in industrial steaming operations, between 100 and 200 °C, cellulose still remaining stiff in such temperatures [4,6].

Wood is a highly anisotropic composite. A tree bole is in coarse terms cylindrical, and displays rotational symmetry with respect to the central axis. The cellulose microfibrils are approximately aligned in the longitudinal direction. Mechanical stiffness is much higher in the direction of the cellulose microfibrils than in the transverse directions. The cellulose is less susceptible to thermal and moisture-induced softening than the surrounding matrix of hemicellulose and lignin, and thus increasing temperature and moisture in general increase mechanical anisotropy [1,2,3,7,8, cf. 9].

Stress–strain behavior of cellular materials in general is non-linear [10,11]. In particular, radial and tangential compression of wood first display an apparently linear elastic range, after which strain can be increased without any major increment of stress [12–17]. This ‘plateau region’ is likely to be due to buckling of cell walls into the cell lumens [12, 13,15,18, 19, cf. 20, 21]. Once the strain becomes so large that the space in the lumens available for cell wall buckling becomes limited, the compressive stress again starts to significantly increase as a function of increasing compressive strain [13–17]. Short-time mechanical behavior of wood may signifi-
significantly depend on the degree of hydraulic filling of the cell lumens [10,15].

The stress–strain compression behavior of wood in the longitudinal direction has been observed to differ significantly from the stress–strain behavior in the transverse directions, the longitudinal direction showing instabilities at strains of a few percent, manifested as decrement of stress as a function of increasing strain [13,22]. Longitudinal instability appears to depend not only on stress but also on loading time, as well as the number of applied loading cycles [23,24].

Consisting of amorphous polymers, wood displays time-dependent mechanical behavior. However, at least up to 100 °C, 50% compressive engineering strain in the radial direction, and straining time of a few seconds, true irrecoverable (plastic) deformation in wood has been found to be small [15,16]. Thus, at least in radial compression, wood appears to behave viscoelastically. However, there is no definite reason to assume that the mechanical response would still be viscoelastic in other material directions, greater temperatures, and with longer straining times [cf. 22,25,26].

The mechanical behavior of steamed wood under cyclic compression has recently been investigated [27,28]. Investigations have shown that even though the mechanical behavior in terms of energy dissipation, strain amplitude and dynamic stiffness is rather sensitive to stress level and stress amplitude, the decrement of small-strain stiffness has been an almost unique function of the level of greatest compressive strain which has appeared during loading [28,29]. The stiffness decrement has been closely related to plastic strain [27–29]. Thus small-strain stiffness and plastic strain have not appeared to reflect the variety of material reactions to fatigue treatments.

In heterogeneous hydrated systems, the amount of water with depressed melting temperature is detectable using Differential Scanning Calorimetry (DSC) [30–33,35]. It is known that water in pores of nanometer scale does not freeze at all. This can be explained in a variety of ways. One of the simplest explanations is that in a space of size close to that of a molecule, there is not much room for molecular motion. Thus the matter appears solid-like, regardless of the temperature, and no thermal transition between solid and liquid is recognized [53]. Molecular mobility may also be reduced due to adsorption to sites like ionic groups [54–56]. Alternatively, one might explain the existence of non-freezing water in terms of slowness of diffusion at low temperature and in small capillaries [57].

The porosity of the wood fiber cell wall increases along with decreasing yield in the course of chemical pulping [34,36–38], and increases in the course of mechanical pulping and chemical pulp beating [34,37–39]. It has been recently shown that the cell wall porosity significantly evolves along with wood basic density, as a function of position within an annual ring, the non-freezing water content of fresh earlywood being less than the non-freezing water content of fresh latewood [40].

Considering the change in cell wall porosity along with pulping operations, it may be of interest to study how the cell wall porosity, and particularly the non-freezing water content of wood cell walls can possibly be changed through mechanical treatment by compression. Thus we will investigate dynamic mechanical behavior of spruce wood, steam-treated at 130 °C. In particular, we will explore the molecular response of specimens subjected to off-axis compressive fatigue loading in unidirectional strain. First, we will describe experimental arrangements. Then, we will report the evolution of compressive strain and dynamic stiffness the course of cyclic compressive loading, and address the energy dissipation during such loading. Finally, the effect of process variables on the changes in cell wall porosity in the nanometer scale will be reported.

2. Materials and methods

Spruce heartwood specimens of dimensions $34 \times 34 \times 9$ mm and of dry mass 4.0 g (±5%), frozen as fresh and then thawed in water overnight, were treated with saturated water steam at 130 °C. After steaming of 40 min, experiments were conducted by compressing any specimen in uniaxial strain in the direction of 9 mm thickness, which in turn was prepared in order to correspond to half way between the tangential and longitudinal material directions. In other words, specimens of 9 mm thickness were sawn along a plane at a 45° angle with respect to the longitudinal direction of the tree trunk, at a 45° angle with respect to the local tangent of the tree trunk cross-section, the specimen thickness being perpendicular to the local radius of the tree trunk. The location of off-axis specimens in a tree trunk is shown in Fig. 1.

Off-axis compression was applied in order to experiment with a relatively simple arrangement which however induces a combination of stresses, including shear stresses, in the anisotropic material. Any specimen contained about 15 annual rings.

A previous investigation [29] has shown a stress–strain behavior for specimens of the present kind as shown in Fig. 2. Engineering stress as a function of logarithmic strain (‘true strain’) under monotonically increased compressive strain at rate 5%/s is shown for two specimens. After reaching 80% compressive strain, the strain was released under strain control, using the same strain rate. Along with monotonic straining at rate 5%/s, two instabilities are found, the instabilities roughly corresponding to 5% and 65% of compressive strain. Compressing once to 80% compressive strain has significantly changed the mechanical behavior as shown in Fig. 2.
Periodic tests were conducted by applying a sinusoidal stress of constant set point and amplitude, using a servohydraulic testing machine which was considered rather stiff in comparison to the stiffness of steamed wood. Fig. 2 was made using in the selection of the applied stress range. Some of the tests were conducted with a greatest compressive stress of 0.9 MPa, which does not induce instability of strain in short-term loading (Fig. 1). In order to experiment with greater stresses, peak compressive stresses of 2.7 and 6.0 MPa were used. All tests were conducted at 10 Hz loading frequency and intended energy application of 10 MJ/m³, which practically led to test durations between 9 and 130 s. Forces due to inertia were negligible in these experiments.

Two levels of relative double amplitude of stress were used. The double amplitude in relation to peak compressive stress was taken as either 30% or 90%. In other words, either 30% or 90% of applied compressive stress was released within any loading cycle. Such arrangements correspond to stress ratios 1.43 and 10.0, the stress ratio taken as the peak compressive stress in relation to the minimum compressive stress. The periodic off-axis loading program, consisting of 12 specimens, is shown in Table 1. Table 1 also reports the amount of mechanical work applied to any specimen, as well as the amount of energy dissipated within any test; energy which did not recover within release of compressive strain was considered as dissipated.

Fig. 3 illustrates a typical periodic experiment. The stress is changed sinusoidally between 2.7 and 0.3 MPa at a frequency of 10 Hz, the strain evolving as the experiment proceeds.

After dynamic loading, an earlywood specimen of dry mass 5 mg was produced from any of the loaded specimens, using a sharp knife. Any small earlywood specimen, along with 10 mg of deionized water, was placed in a Differential Scanning Calorimeter within 15 min from the termination of dynamic loading, and frozen to −45 °C at rate 10 °C/min. Then, the temperature was increased to +25 °C at rate 5 °C/min, and the amount of melting water was determined through the measurement of melting enthalpy. The total amount of water within the specimen was determined through drying the specimen and deducting the dry mass from the total mass. The distinction between the total amount of water and the melting water was taken as the amount of non-freezing water (NFW). In accordance with the Gibbs–Thompson equation, it was assumed that water in pores which are small enough does not freeze at −45°C, and the amount of NFW within a specimen in relation to the dry mass of the specimen was taken as a measure of porosity in the nanometer scale.

3. Evolution of strain

The evolution of strain level within any test is shown in Fig. 4. Fig. 4 displays the greatest compressive (logarithmic) strain detected within any loading cycle. We find that the strain level is a function of the applied stress level.

Compressive stresses up to 0.9 MPa, of which 90% has been released within any loading cycle, appear to induce rather small compressive strains. The same peak stress does induce a significant strain when released to only 70% of the peak stress (30% relative double stress amplitude). It thus appears that the material approaches the first instability of strain, visible in Fig. 2, in a way
Table 1
The dynamic loading program

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Peak compressive stress (MPa)</th>
<th>Double stress amplitude (MPa)</th>
<th>Loading frequency (Hz)</th>
<th>Number of cycles</th>
<th>Applied energy (MJ/m³)</th>
<th>Dissipated energy (MJ/m³)</th>
<th>energy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1300</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>590</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>590</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

which depends either on load duration or the number of loading cycles, rather than stress amplitude or mechanical energy application.

Specimens loaded with peak compressive stress of 2.7 MPa already experience a significant compressive strain within the first loading cycle, after which the compressive strain level further evolves along with additional compression cycles (Fig. 4). Specimens loaded with 90% relative stress amplitude do not show significant saturation of the compressive strain increment, whereas the compressive strain increment rate within specimens loaded with 30% appears to decrease along with further loading.

4. Energy dissipation

The proportion of applied energy not mechanically recovered within three consecutive loading cycles is shown in Fig. 5. We find that the specimens loaded with 30% relative stress amplitude significantly differ from the specimens loaded with 90% relative stress amplitude. After energy dissipation in the context of the initial increment of compressive strain (cf. Fig. 4), the behavior of specimens loaded with the small relative amplitude is almost elastic, whereas 30–40% of the energy applied at 90% relative stress amplitude becomes dissipated, at all applied peak stress levels. It is somewhat remarkable that even specimens loaded with 0.9 MPa compressive
peak stress with 90% relative stress amplitude dissipate some 30% of the applied energy, even though the applied strain is rather small (cf. Fig. 4).

Fig. 5 further shows that in the case of at least 2.7 MPa peak stress and 90% relative stress amplitude, the energy dissipation rate decreases along with energy application. This may be associated with the increment of compressive strain level (cf. Fig. 4). With 6 MPa compressive peak stress, the proportion of dissipated energy is less than with 2.7 MPa compressive peak stress.

5. Dynamic stiffness

Dynamic stiffness, defined as the ratio of stress amplitude to strain amplitude during periodic loading, is shown as a function of energy application in Fig. 6. We find that specimens loaded with 30% relative stress amplitude show the greatest stiffness. Interestingly, specimens loaded with 2.7 MPa compressive peak stress and 90% relative stress amplitude show the lowest dynamic stiffness, specimens loaded with 0.9 MPa compressive peak stress showing a greater stiffness. Specimens loaded with 6 MPa compressive peak stress show much greater stiffness than specimens loaded with 2.7 MPa compressive peak stress.

We find from Fig. 6 that the dynamic stiffness hardly evolves during dynamic loading. There are two exceptions. The first exception is the initial increment of dynamic stiffness in the case of specimens loaded with 2.7 MPa peak stress and 30% relative stress amplitude. This increment of stiffness corresponds to the increment of strain level, shown in Fig. 4. The other exception from the invariance of stiffness during loading is the terminal decrement of stiffness of the specimen loaded with 0.9 MPa peak stress and 30% relative stress amplitude. This stiffness change corresponds to the instability of strain which the specimen approaches, as shown in Fig. 4.

6. Molecular fatigue

The non-freezing water content of moist, virgin spruce earlywood has been reported to be 16–20% of the dry mass of the wood [40]. Within the present investigation it was verified that the same applies to steam-treated earlywood in the absence of any mechanical fatigue loading. The non-freezing water content reflecting cell wall porosity in the nanometer scale, is here assumed to reflect microstructural damage due to mechanical treatment.

Previous investigations have shown that plastic strain, as well as decrement of small-strain stiffness modulus, due to mechanical compression fatigue treatment, is due to the greatest compressive strain which has appeared during loading, being insensitive to the details of the mechanical treatment [28,29]. However, the present results show that molecular fatigue, reflected in the non-freezing water content, is not dictated by the greatest strain applied during loading.

From Fig. 7 it is found that specimens being loaded with 2.7 MPa compressive peak stress and 30% relative double stress amplitude have experienced the same compressive strain as specimens loaded with 90% relative
double stress amplitude. The low-amplitude experiments however show only a very small increment in the non-freezing water content, whereas the molecular fatigue in specimens treated with the greater relative amplitude is rather pronounced.

Energy dissipation has been proposed as a measure of the efficiency of wood fatigue treatment [41,42]. However, we find from Fig. 8 that there does not appear to be much dependency between energy dissipation and porosity changes. In particular, specimens loaded up to 0.9 MPa compressive stress with 90% relative stress amplitude show the same level of energy dissipation as specimens loaded with greater compressive stresses, but they do not show any increment in non-freezing water content. Specimens loaded with 30% relative double stress amplitude show a small amount of dissipation and a small non-freezing water content, regardless of the applied stress level (Fig. 8).

Seminal observations regarding the thermal fatigue of metals have related the extent of fatigue damage to cyclic strain [43,45, cf. 45, 46]. Thus it appears reasonable to discuss inelastic strain amplitude as a fatigue process parameter. Fig. 9 shows the non-freezing water content as a function of the mean double strain amplitude which occurred within any treatment.

It appears from Fig. 9 that the strain amplitude uniquely determines the magnitude of molecular reorganization. Within the present series of experiments, none of the specimens experiencing a double strain amplitude less than 5% shows a significant change in non-freezing water content. On the other hand, all specimens experiencing a double strain amplitude exceeding 5% do show a significant increment in non-freezing water content.

It is worth noting that specimens treated with 2.7 MPa peak compressive stress and 90% relative stress amplitude show a significant experimental scatter in the strain amplitude resulting from stress-controlled loading (Fig. 9). They also shown some scatter in the amount of energy dissipation (Fig. 8). However, there is much less scatter in the non-freezing water content.

It appears from Fig. 9 that the non-freezing water content would be discontinuous, the values being, at most, either 2.1 or at least 3. The authors however are not
aware of any mechanism inducing such discontinuity, and thus assume that it is only apparent.

7. Discussion

Previous investigations have shown that even though the mechanical behavior in terms of energy dissipation, strain amplitude and dynamic stiffness is rather sensitive to stress level and stress amplitude, the decrement of small-strain stiffness has been an almost unique function of the level of greatest compressive strain which has appeared during loading [28]. The stiffness decrement has been closely related to plastic strain [28,29]. Thus such measures have not appeared to reflect the variety of material reactions to fatigue treatments.

Nanometer-scale porosity does reflect the variety of material reactions to fatigue treatments. The extent of molecular reorganization appears to be related to strain amplitude (Fig. 9). It is, however, worth noting that in some particular treatments a double strain amplitude of 16% has resulted from stress-controlled loading, and this amount of strain amplitude has not induced more molecular reorganization than 8% double strain amplitude in some other cases (Fig. 9).

The seminal high-cycle fatigue approach [43, 44, cf. 45, 46] relates fatigue damage to cyclical inelastic strain. Cyclical elastic strain does not induce fatigue damage. Fig. 9 however shows the amount of molecular reorganization as a function of total strain amplitude. The practical reason for such a presentation is that the material behavior being highly nonlinear and very time-dependent, the elastic and inelastic strain amplitudes are difficult to separate. Anyway, it can be postulated that treatments involving greater stresses induce a greater amount of inelastic strain. Thus it is not difficult to understand that specimens loaded with compressive peak stresses of 6 MPa do show significant molecular reorganization even if the double strain amplitude does not exceed 10% (Fig. 9).

Even if significant molecular reorganizations took place during dynamic loading (Fig. 9), the dynamic stiffness, observed during the mechanical treatments, remained largely constant (Fig. 6). Thus it appears the molecular reorganization is not reflected in dynamic stiffness.

The invariance of stiffness along with loading, appearing in Fig. 6 is rather peculiar considering that the compressive strain level increases (Fig. 4). The increment of compressive strain level in the course of dynamic off-axis loading of a highly anisotropic composite may mostly be due to sliding shear deformation, not inducing major changes in the free volume contributing to mobility of molecules [cf. 47–51]. It is also possible that decrement of stiffness due to mechanical fatigue is to some degree compensated by somewhat reduced volume, resulting as a small net effect in dynamic stiffness.

Another peculiarity is that compressive strain accumulation is not straightforwardly time-dependent. The duration of experiments with 2.7 MPa compressive peak stress and 30% relative double stress amplitude was five times the duration of experiments with 90% relative double stress amplitude (Table 1), but the strain accumulation was no greater (Fig. 4). This is somewhat surprising since also the mean compressive stress within the 30% relative stress amplitude experiments was 55% greater than the mean compressive stress within the 90% relative stress amplitude experiments [cf. 23, 24].

A comparison of the extent of cell wall reorganization (Fig. 9) with the duration of any loading experiment (Table 1) shows that the molecular fatigue obviously does not depend on time under load. This is obvious since the duration of the experiments inducing significant molecular reorganization is between 9 and 14 s, these being the briefest experiments within the experimental program (Table 1).

It might be instructive to consider how the molecular reorganization possibly would be affected by a change in process temperature. In amorphous polymers, molecular mobility increases with increasing temperature [50–52]. Thus one can assume that decrement of temperature would reduce the rate of molecular reorganization.

The effect of temperature on molecular reorganization is shown in Fig. 10. We find that decrement of process temperature to 100 °C reduces the resulting non-freezing water content to 22%, the mechanical treatments being similar with 6 MPa peak compressive stress, 90% relative stress amplitude, 10 Hz loading frequency and 10 MJ/m³ energy application per unit volume. Thus, 10
MJ/m$^3$ energy application only slightly increases nanometer-scale porosity.

In general, fatigue damage accumulates with the number of loading cycles [43, 44, cf. 45, 46]. Extending the treatment at 100 °C from 10 to 25 MJ/m$^3$ energy application per unit volume results in a molecular reaction which is at least at the same level as the result of the 10 MJ/m$^3$ energy application implemented at 130 °C (Fig. 10).

We further find from Fig. 10 that with constant stress amplitude, the strain amplitude is somewhat greater at the lower temperature.

It is worth noting that the molecular response to dynamic loading has here been discussed in terms of the non-freezing water content of 5 mg earlywood specimens. The non-freezing water content of latewood differs from that of earlywood, and the effect of some treatments is quite different [40]. The effect of dynamic loading on latewood remains to be investigated. It is still worth noting that not much is known at this stage regarding the exact physical mechanisms inducing the changes in cell wall structure, reflected in the changes in non-freezing water content.
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